Name of Student (Evaluator)	 Date	

	0 Not Present	1	2	3	4	5
1) Contribution to Group's Tasks	Chooses not to participateShows no concern for goals	 Participates inconsistently in group Shows sporadic concern for goals 		 Participates in group most of the time Shows concern for goals most of the time 		Participates activelyModels caring about goals
2) Completion of Personal Tasks	 Impedes goal setting process Impedes group from meeting goals Does not complete assigned tasks 	 Participates sporadically in goal setting Participates sometimes in meeting goals Completes assigned tasks 		 Participates in goal setting most of the time Participates in meeting goals most of the time Completes assigned tasks the majority of the time 		 Helps direct the group in setting goals Helps direct group in meeting goals Thoroughly completes assigned tasks
3) Discussion Skills	Discourages sharingDoes not participate in group discussions	 Shares ideas occasionally when encouraged Allows sharing by most group members 		 Shares ideas most of the time Sometimes encourages groups 		 Shares many ideas related to the goals Encourages all group members to share their ideas
4) Active Listening	 Does not listen to others Not considerate of others' feelings and ideas 	 Listens to others sometimes Considers other people's feelings and ideas sometimes 		Listens and takes other's feelings into consideration most of the time		 Listens attentively to others Empathetic to other people's feelings and ideas
5) Contribution to Group's Evaluation	Discourages evaluation of how well the group is working	Participates marginally in group evaluation		Encourages group evaluation		Encourages group to evaluate how well they are working together as well as self evaluation
6) Problem-solving	Chooses not to participate in problem-solving	 Offers suggestions occasionally to solve problems Demonstrates effort sometimes to help the group work together 		Offers suggestions to solve problems and sometimes encourages group participation		Involves the whole group in problem-solving
7) Cohesion	Promotes fragmentation of group	Does not impede group's efforts		Demonstrates effort to help the group work together a majority of the time		Actively participates in helping the group work together better

- 1) Read "How to use the Rubric" on page two.
- 2) Student should use this form to (i) self-evaluate and (ii) evaluate all groupmates.
- 3) Written comment is required for each evaluation, see page two.
- 4) Additional justification is required for approval when giving a very high or very low total score, see page two.

Intra-group Peer-evaluation Form - GECC4130 Senior Seminar / GECC3130 Exploration and Discovery

(If the auto-sum formula in this PDF cannot work properly, please use the MS Word version of the form instead (download), and manually add up the total score for each member.)

How to use the Rubric

1. Peer assessment is to provide students with opportunities to learn more about teamwork and responsibility for shared learning, Students are expected to learn about the idea of collaboration from the description in rubrics and grade each other with a serious and responsible attitude. Besides giving a integer numerical scores for the 7 dimensions, written comment is required for every assessment.

If a student is giving a very high (33-35) or very low (0-3) total score, additional justification is required.

- 2. There are seven dimensions that have been identified to evaluate Effective Collaborator for Group Project. These include Contribution to Group's Tasks, Completion of Personal Tasks, Discussion Skills, Active Listening, Contribution to Group's Evaluation, Problem-solving and Cohesion.
- 3. There may be only one example that was demonstrated by the student being evaluated, and this one example can become the basis for your score on a dimension. It is not required to exhibit all of the examples of evidence at a specific level in order to receive that score.
- 4. Evidence for each dimension has been identified, and these types of evidence range from low to high with score from 0 to 5. Higher scores on the rubric have an increasing number of examples and increasing complexity of ideas demonstrated. The full score is thirty-five (35).
- 5. Scores of 2 or 4 can be assigned when you feel that the student being evaluated demonstrated qualities to receive a score higher than 1 or 3 respectively, but does not provide sufficient evidence to merit the next highest score.
- 6. Check "Not Present" if the student did not address a particular dimension. The student thus will receive a score of 0 in this dimension.

Evaluation Results Name of Student (Evaluator) Date Name of group members #3 scores #4 scores #5 scores #7 scores **TOTAL** #1 scores #2 scores #6 scores 1) Comment (add paper if needed) 2) Comment (add paper if needed) 3) Comment (add paper if needed) Self-evaluation Comment (add paper if needed)